

This article has been previously published in *The Morning Watch* (May 2013), a non-peer-reviewed publication. The current version is updated and has undergone double-blind peer review.

## Fierce Love: Fashioning Becoming a Researcher

Sarah R. Pickett, Memorial University of Newfoundland

[spickett@mun.ca](mailto:spickett@mun.ca)

**Abstract:** This paper explores problematic discourses associated with becoming a researcher in the academy through the arts based research methods of autoethnography and narrative performance. I attempt to enhance awareness and contribute to growing knowledge surrounding the experience of becoming a researcher via: fragments of my coming out journey, my experience as a psychotherapist, and the evolution of my view of a researcher. Through performance-based frameworks and personal evocative writing toward inquiry, I weave specific interactions with theoretical positioning. I highlight the collision of discourses, and how both vulnerability and critical reflection paved the way in my becoming a researcher.

**Key Words:** Arts-based Research; Education; Researcher Identity Development; Autoethnography; Narrative Performance; Queer; LGBTQ; Microaggressions; Counselling

The gifts I have received in relationship with my father are immeasurable. I can only begin to describe them through story. During the course of our relationship as father and daughter, mentor and student, friends, and confidants I have been visible and invisible, understood, and misunderstood, and always fiercely loved. These experiences along with many others, a few of which I will further explore here, have brought me to my present understanding of the central research question: How does one become a researcher?

Through weaving several autoethnographic vignettes, I utilize the arts-based research methods of writing toward inquiry and text as performance. In the process of crafting the vignettes, I engage in art-making which uses evocative and provocative forms of storytelling to build micro and macro connection (Leavy, 2015). These connections serve as analyses that underpin accounts of experience near events contributing to new knowledge about becoming a researcher and inviting readers to consider the autoethnographic origins of one's researcher identity.

Accounts of experience presented through narrative inquiry and performance text are often messy, at times appear disconnected, and may be challenging to track. This narrative is not immune to this phenomenon, and is at times disjointed and scruffy. Importantly, autoethnographic narrative inquiry illustrates how integrating information to construct meaning is multifaceted and vastly complex. Our interactions and experiences are simultaneous: *inter* and *intra* personal; sensory and cognitive;

emotional and logical; include past, present and future representations of ourselves and others; are embodied and performative; and are vulnerable and controlled. We move through these conflicting, colliding, and co-occurring states often with little conscious awareness as we attempt to organize our experience in meaningful ways. Memory and story are not linear processes or constructions; how we integrate and make meaning of our experience is often organic, non-orderly and fragmented (Spry, 2001).

The song lyrics preceding and/or capping several vignettes in this paper reflect an organic arts-based process of knowledge construction. I often hear songs, fragments really, (Spry, 2001) of lyrics on loop when crafting vignettes and engaging in autoethnographic writing. Until recently, I had chalked this up to a quirk: a familiar yet secondary and frankly undervalued creative process. However last week, while bogged down in pink and yellow highlighted and marked up articles, and sticky notes strewn across my bed, in a room I escape to amongst the chaos of family life with small children, a place where I often work in fits and starts, with lyrics floating in and out of my thought content, while half-heartedly musing through social media and reflecting yet again on how I ended up as a researcher, I came across a blog posting Patricia Leavy had written as a letter to a friend in response to a Facebook post (Leavy, 2016). In her letter Leavy detailed how she spontaneously heard, on loop, a phrase from a Tori Amos song at a particularly traumatic time in her life. She credits this experience as the exact moment she decided to write “Method Meets Art” (Leavy, 2015). Not only am I not alone with lyrics on loop as an arts-based method of action and inquiry, I’m in good company. The lyrics I’ve included in this article arose spontaneously with the crafting of each section of this paper. Certain lyrics played relentlessly in my mind, over and over through each storyline. I also played them constantly in the car, on the computer, at home and work, and sang them in the shower, while doing dishes, and when lost in thought. I include them as representations of the co-occurrence of embodiment and performance in arts-based research methods. The fragments of songs interwoven with the vignettes are an integral part of both the method and analysis.

*Galileo's head was on the block  
The crime was looking up for truth  
And as the bombshells of my daily fears explode  
I try to trace them to my youth – Galileo (Indigo Girls, 1992)*

#### **1984: Venice Beach, Visible and Understood**

The air at the Culver City ice-skating rink was different on competition days; it was colder, still and full of tension. I came off the ice with my long auburn hair pulled tightly back in a French braid, wearing new tights, skating dress and polished skates. My first glance was to my coach, Doug. I was looking for approval, confirmation that I had just locked in the high score for the figure portion of the competition. He said nothing, only nodded his head. I knew I had performed well.

My next thoughts were, “Where’s my Dad? Where are my skate guards? What does he think, does he think it will be enough to get me through tonight’s freestyle competition and still end up 1<sup>st</sup> overall?” Then I saw him, “Dad”! He holds me tightly, squeezing me and warming me under his big bear like arms. “I think you did it, Sarahdoo. I knew you would. Now, what do you say we get out of here for a little bit?”

he says. This was not my Dad's typical role. He did not manage my competitive skating career: that was my mothers' domain. She would have had a plan of how to prepare for the evening competition. Most importantly, nothing overly stimulating.

Doug: (walking over to my Dad and me) "Oh good Ed, you're taking her out of here for a while, remember to keep her relaxed and save up her energy for tonight- she goes on late, I don't want her tired."

Dad: "Come on, Sarahdoo, I have somewhere in mind for us to go." (He hands me a doughnut making sure that my coach doesn't see it and I run to gather my things for our adventure. Eight hours later we return).

Doug: "Hi Sarah, you ready for this?"

Me: "Absolutely!" (Excitedly jumping up and down) We ate fried bread, saw a man juggle chainsaws and people watched; Oh, and I practiced barefoot in the sand.

Doug: (looking at my dad in disbelief) "Ed, where did you take her?"

Dad: Venice Beach.

Doug: "I thought you were taking her somewhere to relax and reserve her energy?"

Dad: "She's ten. She doesn't need to relax; she has an abundance of energy. Venice Beach was an experience she'll always remember."

Me: (thoughts) "Wow! My dad loves me, he gets me. He sees me."

From that point forward, my Dad was forbidden to take me on his own to a competition. I do remember it: this early experience of love, visibility, and understanding from my father.

### **1998: Key Decision, Invisible and Misunderstood**

Internal dialogue: Today is the day, it must be, I can't wait any longer. I am so tired of hiding and lying either directly or by omission. What if it doesn't go well, what then? You can't worry about that right now, Sarah, or you will never go through with it. Just pick up the phone; he loves you, he gets you, now let him see you.

Tammy walks by me sitting in the kitchen, holding the phone. "Are you going to call or just hold the phone for a little while longer?" she blurted. "Stop it", I said. I picked up the phone, dialed the number, and took a deep breath. "Dad, I have been trying to connect with you for a while. There is something that I want to talk with you about. I'm ok. Don't worry. I'm not sick or anything life threatening like that," I said. The truth is what I was about to share did feel life threatening. My foot began to shake, my eyes shifted, and my voice cracked as I went on, "Dad, I'm gay. Tammy is not my

friend. She's my girlfriend." My eyes focused on the I Love Lucy pajamas I was wearing and the smoke from the cigarette in my hand filled the air around me, as I anxiously waited for his reply. "Oh, well that's not too much of a surprise. Sarahdoo; I love you no matter what your sexual preference. Do you think that this might have something to do with your mother, I mean her dying when you were 12? Do you think you missed something that you are now trying to find?" he replied.

Heartache. In one swift moment, I am both relieved and enraged. He still loves me. I breathed a little easier, but he doesn't understand or see me. I began to cry. I tried to explain, give voice to my experience. The notion that I would choose to bring upon myself the agony, the pain I have felt in living a double life, and the fear I've walked with for years is unfathomable.

The decision I made to come out to my father and my family has set the stage for how I have navigated many mainstream discourses. In that moment, I was both attempting to stabilize my identity to be consistent with my attraction for women and destabilize it, away from assumptions about my sexuality. Heteronormative<sup>i</sup>, cisnormative<sup>ii</sup>, and lesbian discourses require that I explain my behaviour. They demand that I claim my sexual identity through the paring of my sex, gender, attraction, and behaviour (Butler, 1999). I responded accordingly, completely unaware of the notions of fixed sexuality and gender identities influencing my self-perception (Warner, 1993). For most of my childhood I had assumed that sex, gender, and attraction were pre-scribed. As a young child, these relationships posed no notable dilemma for me in that my gender identity and expression was consistent with societal expectations of my assigned gender and sex. I liked dresses, had long hair, and like many of my peers, thought that boys had cooties. I did struggle at times with being an athlete and the expectations that I be a strong competitor, and yet demure. Perhaps this was my first questioning of society's assumptions about the relatedness of gender, sex, and performance. I was fortunate: my parents were quick to highlight a wealth of examples for me to look toward that were both athletic and female. My walls were graced with Dorothy Hamill, Nadia Comaneci, and Peggy Fleming. As I moved through adolescence, the dilemmas began to simmer. I was aware that I no longer had the same experience as many of my peers. I liked boys and I even went so far as to keep a picture of Kirk Cameron on my bedroom wall for a few years. Yet, I knew that my experience of gender and attraction differed from most of my peers. Unable to understand my experience and with the only visible models reflecting sex, gender, and attraction hetero/cisnormative forms, I assumed it would come: attraction to men. Perhaps I was a late bloomer. It never came.

My inability to reconcile my unlabeled, unrepresented, and invisible experience grew until I met Chad. It was the mid-nineties in Los Angeles and I was paving my way through university, struggling to reconcile both my scientific and artistic interests in the form of one degree. I had completed the equivalent of three years of a psychology degree in roughly two years before abruptly leaving the Liberal Arts University I was attending to audition and be accepted to a fine arts undergraduate program in dance. I was 20. My family was less than pleased with this choice, although they would never admit this outside of sidebar conversations, which were intentionally said within my earshot. Chad and I worked together as servers in a local restaurant and had become fast friends. I had reached a level of comfort with Chad in sharing my feelings of

confusion about dating, attraction, and gender. For many months now, he had listened patiently to my struggle. He had gone with me to my first lesbian club and watched me squirm with discomfort, while at the same time breathing a sigh of relief that a place like it existed. He offered comic relief, sharing how uncomfortable he was as a large-statured gay man in a lesbian club. In all my confusion, I knew he saw and got me, even though I didn't quite understand myself. Thus, it was fitting that it would be Chad who would gently, humorously and warmly nudge me toward a more rigorous reflection of my experience of gender, sex, and attraction. It was a warm night in West Hollywood and I was feeling particularly comfortable in the gay community with Chad by my side. After an evening of dancing at Axis, one of our preferred gay bars, we stood outside in the L.A. night air devouring Polish hotdogs from our favorite street vendor:

Me: "So, I think I've figured it out. I'm bisexual. That's why I look the way I do. Why I look like most of my straight girlfriends and like most of the same things as they do, and don't want to date anyone who looks like them or me. I'm bisexual. I like women and men, but only women who look more androgynous."

Chad: "Really, you think? So, are you going to call the girl who gave you her number tonight? What about that other girl, the lawyer, are you still dating her? Oh, and who was the last guy you dated?"

Me: "I don't know. Maybe I'll call. I don't know about the lawyer yet, and what do you mean who was the last guy I dated?"

Chad: "Well, I can't remember the last time you dated a guy? In fact, in all the time we've been friends, I can't really ever remember you dating a guy. You talk about it, but that seems like something you think you should do because of how you look, not something you actually want to do."

Me: "Really, that can't be true."

Chad: "Ok, maybe it isn't true. That's just what I see. Only you really know what it's like to be you."

Me: "Hmmmmm" (both intrigued and wanting to run from the conversation).

Chad: "You know, it's ok if they don't all add up to how we are told they should, you know. I mean, how you look, who you like, how you know yourself, what parts you have. Look at me, I'm built like a bear. I can act either gay or straight, love women, and I'm really only romantically attracted to men. You just are who you are and society is the one who doesn't get it. It's their loss if they don't get to know and love you like I do."

Me: "Lesbian, huh? But the lesbians I know don't think I am a lesbian. They think I am straight, and if I tell my straight girlfriends I am a lesbian, I think

they might laugh. Does this mean I have to start wearing Doc. Martins, or overalls, or like team sports? I don't really like any of those. I don't know anyone like me. Who looks like me and is romantically attracted only to women, and I can't think of anyone on TV or any example. I'm not a lipstick lesbian, or androgynous or butch- I'm a lesbian who most people assume is straight."

Chad: "Then I guess that means that you better get comfortable with who you are since you'll probably have to explain yourself a lot, but pretending to have feelings of attraction that you don't, or trying to act like a stereotypical lesbian isn't who you are. You have never intentionally been fake, so please don't start now. What I love about you is your bravery and honesty."

Me: "Ok, so a lesbian- or at least a woman who looks straight, but likes girls. This will be interesting Chaddy. Can I just say I am gay? I like that much better."

It was because of this invitation from Chad to fiercely love myself, coupled with the realization that I needed to be brave and honest, that I decided to declare my lesbian status to those I loved. Hoping, praying, and cringing a little as I awaited varying responses.

What does this have to do with my developing identity as a researcher? Everything. Although without self-awareness at the time, I was laying a foundation for how I conceptualize the process and purpose of research. At the beginning of my journey as an out lesbian, I discovered a central tenet in honoring others and myself: lead with vulnerability. Leading with vulnerability has been an evolutionary process, shaped by both my personal and professional relationships. Up to now, leading with vulnerability in the process of becoming a researcher has meant trusting my gut or intuitive knowledge, following my passions, attuning to my experience – particularly feelings of fear, taking a deep breath and forging forward.

### **Listening to Intuitive Knowledge**

The language we choose to use and the meanings of words are important. Sexual preference implies a degree of voluntary choice, which is inconsistent with Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual person's experiences (American Psychological Association, 2008, What causes a person to have a particular sexual orientation? para 1; Herek, Kimmel, Amaro & Melton, 1991). Whereas sexual orientation refers to an "enduring pattern of emotional, romantic, and/or sexual attractions to men, women, or both sexes. Sexual orientation also refers to a person's sense of identity based on those attractions, related behaviours, and membership in a community of others who share those attractions" (American Psychological Association, 2008, para 2). The term preference is ambiguous, it suggests that I simply like women more than men; that the strong feelings of attraction, intense draw and magnetism I experienced in 2005 when meeting my now partner Kathy, I chose to have and therefore I might have been able to choose not to have them as well. Preference implies that affectionate attraction is akin to which shoes I decide to wear for the day. Preference does not articulate the embodied

sense of knowing, connection and exhilaration I feel in her presence; so much so that I would decide to move to Newfoundland and serendipitously to becoming a researcher. This early experience of invisibility, insult and invalidation through my father's use of the term preference has been instrumental in shaping my research interest in microaggressions, and LGBTQ equity and experience.

*We're sculpted from youth, the chipping away makes me weary  
And as for the truth it seems like we just pick a theory  
The one that justifies our daily lives  
And backs us with quiver and arrows - Deconstruction (Indigo Girls, 2002)*

Microaggressions are the ordinary daily verbal, behavioural, and environmental indignities that communicate hostile, disparaging, or harmful sexual orientation, race, ability, gender, and religious slights and insults to the target person or group. They chip away at my assumptions and expectations of visibility, equality, understanding, and value. Microaggressions may be verbal, non-verbal, environmental, and intentional or unintentional (Sue, 2010). I am surrounded, embedded, and intertwined in my personal life, research, and daily experiences with both microaggressions and lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) experience. Historically, lesbians have been scrutinized within the academy through research which focused on seeking truth about the origins and suitability of our condition. Homosexuality was not removed from the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) until 1974; the year I was born (Fox, 1988). In subsequent editions, this was replaced with equally harmful measures of human experience, sexual orientation disturbance, and ego dystonic homosexuality (American Psychiatric Association, 1980, 1987). In an attempt to repair the harm caused by the pairing of non-heterosexual persons with pathology and deviance, the term sexual orientation was adopted and promoted by the American Psychological Association. Sexual orientation has become the recommendation for appropriate terminology to include lesbian, gay, bisexual, and heterosexual persons within psychology (Herek, Kimmel, Amaro & Melton, 1991). I do wonder though if in an attempt to position a person's expression of gender, sex, and attraction with perceptions of normal behaviour did we reinforce the notion of normal and cause more harm? Did we further reinforce the normal discourse, exclude experience, and limit room for deeper understanding of the relationships between sex, gender and attraction as performances required by social constructions (Butler, 1999)? Perhaps we did, and these constrictions are presently harming others. Still, I find comfort in having a label: a way to quickly describe generally how I experience gender and attraction. Although over the years, I have become increasingly aware of how my performance may change depending on the discourses around me.

*Whatever has happened to anyone else  
Could happen to you & to me  
And the end of my youth was the possible truth  
That it all happens randomly  
Who is teaching kids to be leaders  
and the way that it is meant to be*

*the philosophy of loss- Philosophy of Loss (Indigo Girls, 2007)***Who am I? Why Does my Experience Matter?**

I wonder how I will ever define what it means to be a researcher? How can I describe the elusive concept of a researcher, when I can't quite seem to grasp it? What do I have to contribute to this dialogue? The story I have carried about research is that I am a consumer of research, not a producer. This is most clearly linked to my course of study as a Doctor of Clinical Psychology, Psy.D., which largely focused on educating psychology practitioners. I have been schooled in the belief that research is something I digest from afar, not something that I inhabit. It was my automatic assumption that the title of researcher may only be granted to those engaged with a positivist conceptualization of empirical knowledge that initially paralyzed my entrance into the academy. From this position, I am unable to comprehend meeting the academy's performance expectations. Consequently, upon entering the academy as an assistant professor, I often walked the building halls feeling disconnected, lost, confused, and fearful. In believing my only access into the academy's researcher club was to perform in a specific manner, as is honored, valued, and praised within the academy, I became smothered. How could I ever begin to contribute in a meaningful way to a greater awareness and understanding of LGBTQ peoples' experiences, dilemmas, and lives while measuring, quantifying and judging LGBTQ people, couples, families, and communities' experience? I attempted many times to write grant proposals and conceptualize projects with a basis in measuring, solidifying, and quantifying outcomes to no avail. I consistently felt as though my voice, intuitive knowledge, and lived experience was overpowered and marginalized through the process.

Conversely, my professional identity has been well developed and firmly rooted as a counsellor, psychologist, teacher, and mentor. In creating and performing the role of researcher in a way that is consistent with my experience and worldview, I am challenging the social construction of my professional identity and my understanding of the title researcher. One of the aspects of being a counsellor I enjoy most is the research involved with understanding the lived experiences of those with whom I work, and having the privilege to be involved in other's lives in often intimate ways. Yet upon entering the academy, I had not considered my approach to therapeutic work of relevance to the discussion of becoming a researcher. There certainly were long established non-positivist approaches to research around at the time I entered the academy. However, I was unaware of these perspectives (Ellis, 1991, 1994 & 2004; Richardson, 2001a & 2001b; Spry, 2001; Jenks, 2002). I am naturally curious and have learned to value becoming comfortable with both ambiguity and multiple meanings. It is here: in alignment with the social constructivist counseling theories, which are concerned with meaning and knowledge construction, and the ways in which we story our lives, that I found a home (White & Epston, 1990; Freedman & Combs 1996).

**Searching for Connections**

January 11, 2013: I pull out an article from the stack on my desk searching for a way to describe the intertwining roles that I experience as I perform the role of lesbian, therapist, and researcher. As I read I am reminded by Ellis and Bochner (2000) of the importance of arguing for and valuing research, "that will allow readers to feel the

moral dilemmas, think with our story instead of about it, join actively in the decision points that define an autoethnographic project and consider how their own lives can be made a story worth telling” (p. 735).

In an attempt to carve out researcher space, I find I am engaged in a process personally which I relish in with my clients. As a counsellor, I have collaborated and co-constructed meaning with others in attempts to aid in the re-authoring of their life stories. Problem identification, story deconstruction, looking for unique outcomes, shining lights on dormant aspects of the self/experience, reconstruction of meaning, preferred identities/alternative stories, and thickening of plots are collaborative therapeutic stances with which I align my practice (Freedman & Combs, 1996; White & Epston, 1990). I perform the role of counsellor, teacher, and mentor from this approach (Butler, 1999). It is from this narrative theoretical counseling orientation, with its post-structuralist, post-modern, and feminist roots, that I am beginning to see how my researcher identity is emerging and may evolve. “In reflexive ethnographies the researcher’s personal experience becomes important primarily in how it illuminates the culture under study” (Ellis & Bochner, 2000, p. 740). Perhaps I am a reflexive ethnographer. My experience as a lesbian, therapist, and researcher is inextricably interwoven with how I approach, interact with, and choose to reveal or illuminate the experiences of LGBTQ persons as part of my ongoing research explorations. I find the untidy nature of how performance and embodiment theories collide, conflict, and at times give way to rich theoretical explanations for human experience comforting.

Ellis and Bochner (2000) suggest, “psychotherapists are ethnographers of the self” (p.760). That resonates with me, as it is what I do with others as a therapist. As a therapist, my work depends on my capacity to achieve a level of intercultural understanding alongside the social skill required by ethnographic empathy. This requires that I make space for embodiment, vulnerability, performance, and co-construction within a feminist theoretical framework of the therapeutic relationship. I must hold these sites of knowledge and experience as valuable, not dismissing or privileging one over another.

### **Confirmation of Intuitive Knowledge**

I was fortunate in coming out to my family. For the most part, the process was smooth, supportive, and relatively rejection-free. Although most friends and colleagues thought it was not a big deal, I was aware that I would no longer be afforded the privilege of being perceived as normal. Society did not have the intimate relationships with me that my family did, and that society may not be as willing to accept me and the voicing of my lesbian status with the same relative ease. In a heteronormative and cisnormative society, persons with gender identities and/or sexual orientations other than heterosexual or cisgender may experience a number of losses of privilege (Chernin & Johnson 2003; Ritter & Terndup, 2002; Rust, 1996). Unfortunately, I was right. I lost friends and job opportunities. I learned to read the environment for signs of danger to personal safety, while holding a lover’s hand in public or when discussing my gender, attraction, and behaviour. I cannot avoid microaggressive waters; they are all around. In my early twenties, the following song was a favorite of mine:

*I’m not radical when I kiss you, I don’t love you to make a point.*

*It's the hollow of my heart that cries when I miss you  
And it keeps me alive when we're apart. – Radical (Curtis, 1995)*

Catie Curtis' lyrics shine a light on microaggressions. Microaggressions can be further broken down into three broad categories (1) microinvalidations, (2) microinsults, and (3) microassaults. Microaggressions are often environmentally embedded in culture, as Curtis' lyrics reflect. Additionally, microinvalidations are regularly outside the aggressors' awareness and operate to "exclude, negate and nullify the psychological thoughts, feelings or experiential reality of certain groups" (Sue, 2010, p.37). Often these actions are justified through apparently non-biased and compelling arguments, since well-intended and thoughtful individuals frequently communicate them (Sue et al., 2007).

Through my father's line of questioning when I initially came out to him, "Do you think that this might have something to do with your mother, I mean her dying when you were 12? "Do you think you missed something that you are now trying to find?", an "assumption of abnormality" was made (Sue, 2010, p. 195). In trying to identify how I became a lesbian, he was unknowingly pathologizing my experience. One would not ask of their heterosexual daughter: "How did this happen?" or question how come she is heterosexual, or suggest that she is so because she is missing something. His response and responses like his measure, judge, compare, and define my experience as deviant from the dominant heterosexual discourse. I am left to decipher what these questions mean, what it means that they are asked, and how to respond. The power of sexual orientation microaggressions often rests in their invisibility to both perpetrator and target person or group (Sue, 2010). How do I negotiate my early experiences of visibility with my father as a young child with the invisibility and attack I experienced in coming out? Assumptions of abnormality are subtle. So subtle, that at times it is not until much later, after an act occurs, when my stomach is still in knots, and the internal nagging I experience of replaying the situation over and over again in my mind will not quiet, and finally when I cannot sleep, that I am then forced to reflect on what has transpired.

I am not intending to be radical when I kiss Kathy. However, in the absence of visible lesbian affection in the media, in public places, at social and family gatherings, and in the presence of questions such as, "What point are they trying to make by flaunting their sexuality?" or statements such as, "I don't care if someone is a lesbian, I just don't want to see it," Curtis' lyrics reveal microaggressions, and give voice to the demand for a response.

### **2007: More Decisions**

Almost 10 years after my initial coming out, I made the difficult choice to move away from my family, friends, and support systems in California. I decided to move to Newfoundland and Labrador to legally marry Kathy, and equally parent our two children. From the time I first outed myself, and possibly earlier, I began learning to attune to the subtleties of how those close to me, acquaintances and those with whom I had relatively no relationship, responded to my performance as a lesbian. These skills continue to be relevant today. Many feminist, poststructuralist, postmodern, and queer theorists suggest that we perform identities in accordance with or deviance from the

dominant discourse rather than embody identities (Butler, 1999; Warner, 1993). Through my performances of lesbian and therapist, my ability to attune to experiences in the context of dominant discourses has become well developed. These roles demand that I “pay attention to my physical feelings, thoughts and emotions” (Ellis & Bochner, 2000, p. 737). I try to understand both past and present experiences, and similar to auto-ethnographers “by exploring a particular life, I hope to understand a way of life” (p.737).

### **Lived Experience and Intuitive Knowledge: Influencing Research**

January 20, 2013: The opportunity to reflect while in the shower presents itself as my two young children graciously nap at the same time. I ponder recent readings, interactions with colleagues, relationships, and music that narrate my life. I wonder why the academy “doesn’t insist on personal accountability in research, why we give weight to categorical knowledge rather than to direct testimony of personal narrative and first-person voice” (Ellis & Bochner, 2000, p. 734). I must remember in the work I do with LGBTQ school personnel, in trying to understand their experiences, my personal knowledge of microaggressions, and how these relate to school communities and cultures; that LGBTQ persons must not be considered as objects. I am not an object, nor are those who open themselves when researching with me. I think about how I expose myself as a therapist, how I am fierce in my commitment to the process of co-creating, co-exploring, and honoring the clients who choose to work with me toward their desired alternative stories. How can I hold to this practice in becoming a researcher within the academy? I reflect on a comment a colleague made recently to me: “Perhaps you are more comfortable with vulnerability than most of us in the academy. Maybe this is because you have already faced the fear that comes with great risk, such as coming out, and because of societies’ requirement that you expose yourself daily in living an out life”. Could it be that I see vulnerability differently than others, as a source of strength, rather than weakness? Again, I must remember to make every effort to try to see and understand those who participate in research with me. How do I remain open? How can I suspend assumptions, avoid labeling, and make room for stories? As a researcher, I need to become a better listener, to others and myself. I must bring along my therapist self to become the researcher I want to be.

The Indigo Girls come to mind again: their music has streamed alongside my life. As the water beats down over me and my eyes fix on the lightly red coloured water from my recently dyed hair, I reflect on how the honesty, vulnerability, ambiguity, and dilemmas in their music evoke emotions, questions, and thoughts which cannot be ignored. They require my attention and draw in the whole me. They use personal ethnography when they open themselves to themselves, and to their audience. They allow others to drop resistance to different ideas through their music (Ellis & Bochner, 2000). The Indigo Girls must be ethnographers of the soul; their music opens up conversations with both my mind and spirit.

### **Unforeseen Gifts**

In 1998, I made the decision in a heteronormative society, to take what seemed like an enormous risk. It was a time when Ellen DeGeneres’ sitcom had just been canceled, shortly after both she and her character came out in real life and on screen.

Before *Will and Grace* - the longest running sitcom with principle gay characters, and long before the *It Gets Better Project*, a response to several teens taking their own life after experiences of bullying at school (About the It Gets Better Project section, para. 2). I was living in the United States; the *Defense of Marriage Act (1996)* was law and same sex marriage was not legal in any U.S. state, or in any other country. It was at this time, when lesbian parents were often featured in the news fighting for legal rights to parent their children, and minority sexual orientation based hate crimes were being frequently reported, that I decided to come out to the people most important in my life. These peoples' opinions mattered to me; whose beliefs about me I would struggle to dismiss if they rejected my lesbian identity. In that one moment, I decided to face my ultimate fear: dismissal of my worth, my experience, and my humanity. I found a life worth living.

### **Undivided**

Elrenna Evans (2008) describes the following concept in *Fitting In*: “The academy’s ‘floating head’ syndrome; how people are expected to function as disembodied brains, not connected to bodies or families or any sort of life outside of academic pursuits” (p. 51). I am not a floating head, nor are the students and research participants who choose to do this work. I strive for my research to be evocative, demonstrate reflexivity, and affect the audience both emotionally and intellectually (Richardson, 2000a). I hope my expression of reality will promote empathy, encourage dialogue, and contribute to better conversation about affirmative sexuality and gender practice and pedagogy “in the face of all the barriers and boundaries that make conversation difficult” (Ellis & Bochner, 2000, p. 748). I aim for the reader to generate new questions, be drawn to try new research practices, to write, and be moved into action. Through their use of self-exposure, the Indigo Girls’ music, lyrics, and accounts of culture, social, and individual stories, offer a credible sense of lived experience; one that allows me to make my own judgments about their point of view, and requires the whole me (Richardson, 2000a, 2000b). As a researcher, I seek to evoke similar reactions and response.

At times I wonder if my vulnerability, my relentless self-exposure, my commitment to revealing the unexposed, will result in harm to myself or to my family’s wellbeing? My answer: Lead with vulnerability by naming these fears. Even as I write with sweaty palms and a rapid heartbeat, I’m aware of how I reveal myself as a researcher who is intimately intertwined with her research and who is opening the door to criticism for my lack of objectivity and bias. As a researcher, lesbian, partner, and parent, I choose not to attempt to validate my relationship, my family, or my worth through models of deviance. I work to disengage from comparing non-heterosexual and heterosexual folks, couples, and families as means of measurement aimed at deeming persons equal. This type of measurement sits in judgment of my existence, relationships, and suitability to marry and parent. My family and I, our children, our communities need a better way to engage in difficult conversations, to collaborate, honour, and be honoured. I’m excited about my alignment with a theoretical position that believes in a “radical transformation in the goals of our work” as researchers and academics, “from description to communication” (Ellis & Bochner, 2000, p. 748).

Leading with vulnerability as a researcher, lesbian, partner, parent, and mother in the academy means taking risks. It means that if I find myself in a circumstance where there are no visible allies with more experience than I, then rather than doubt my own experience, I must trust it.

Through research, I hope to aid the academy in moving toward conversations that will help all of us in society engage in difficult conversations. These conversations may offer insight, stimulate thought, and require reflection about how my experience informs our understanding of diverse sexuality. My experiences include: feeling visible and understood as a young child by my father; feeling invisible and misunderstood as a young adult coming out; and as a parent striving to remain open to hearing, seeing and understanding my children the way my father sought to hear, see, and understand me. These conversations will engage us with: understanding LGBTQ peoples' experiences, thoughtfulness about how we conduct research with LGBTQ people, and what meanings can be drawn from mine and others' stories. Conversations that will cause us to pause and look for connections. How did Chad's invitation to fiercely love myself open space for me to continue to reveal myself to my father? A practice of vulnerability, which was restorative for both my father and I. So much, that in my speech honouring my father's life at his funeral, I reflected the following:

Perhaps the greatest gift my father has given me is to remember that as a parent, my role is to 'fiercely' love my children, support them, and make room for them to become. I received this gift through my experience of being his child.

I long for academic conversations that leave the reader eager to learn how I became visible again to my father, felt seen, heard, and understood by him in my thirties. I always knew that I was fiercely loved. Through detailing my experience, new questions requiring inquiry, rigor, reflexivity, and academic conversation emerge. Such as: How does my articulation albeit messiness in detailing fierce love contribute cultural knowledge about researchers, our identities and agendas? These questions currently remain unanswered, underexplored, and require critical analysis beyond the scope of this paper.

So how did I become a researcher? I showed up for my life, not as a disembodied head, as I thought would be required of me to claim the title of researcher, but with the whole me. I tune into my experience and work to attune to others. I grapple with how to share these experiences in a manner that will be meaningful to both the world and to me.

*How long till my soul gets it right?  
Can any human being ever reach that kind of light?  
I call on the resting soul of Galileo  
King of night vision, King of insight - Galileo (Indigo Girls, 1992)*

## References

American Psychiatric Association (1980): *The Diagnostic and statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition*. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association (1987): *The Diagnostic and statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition, Text Revision*. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychological Association (2008). *Answers to your questions: For a better understanding of sexual orientation and homosexuality*. Washington, DC:

Butler, J. (1999). *Gender trouble: feminism and the subversion identity*. NY, NY: Routledge.

Curtis, C. (1995). *Radical. On Truth from Lies* [CD]. Dear Park, NY: Guardian Records.

Denzin, N.K. (2006). The politics and ethics of performance pedagogy: Toward a pedagogy of hope. In D.S. Madison & Hamera (eds). *The Sage handbook of performance studies* (pp.325-338). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Chernin, J. N., & Johnson, M. R. (2003). *Affirmative psychotherapy and counseling for lesbians and gay men*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Ellis, C. (1991). Sociological introspection and emotional experience. *Symbolic Interaction, 14*, 23-50.

Ellis, C. (1995). *Final negotiations: A story of love, loss, and chronic illness*. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

Ellis, C. (2004). *The ethnographic I: A methodological novel about autoethnography*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.

Ellis, C., & Bochner, A. P. (2000). "Autoethnography, Personal Narrative, Reflexivity: Researcher as Subject." In Denzin, N.K. & Lincoln, Y.S. (Eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (pp.733-68) Thousand Oaks, Ca: Sage.

Evans, E. (2008). Fitting In. In E. Evans & C. Grant (Eds.), *Mama PhD: Women write about motherhood and academic life* (pp.49-54). New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

Freedman, J., & Combs, G. (1996). *Narrative Therapy: the social construction of preferred realities*. NY, NY: Norton Company Inc.

Fox, R. E. (1988). Proceedings of the American Psychological Association, Incorporated, for the year 1987: Minutes of the Annual meeting of the Council of Representatives. *American Psychologist, 43*, 508-531.

Herek, G. M., Kimmel, D. C., Amaro, H., & Melton, G. B. (1991). Avoiding

- heterosexist bias in psychological research. *American Psychologist*, 46 (9), 957-963.
- It Gets Better Project. (2012). *About the It Gets Better Project*, para 2.  
Retrieved from  
<http://www.itgetsbetter.org/pages/about-it-gets-better-project/>
- Indigo Girls. (1992). Galileo. On *Rites of Passage* [CD]. New York, NY: Epic Records.
- Indigo Girls. (2002). Deconstruction. On *Become You* [CD]. New York, NY: Epic Records.
- Indigo Girls. (2007). Philosophy of Loss. On *Come on Now Social* [CD]. New York, NY: Epic Records.
- Jenks, E. (2002). Searching for autoethnographic credibility: Reflections from a mom with a notepad. In A.P. Bochner & C. Ellis (Eds.), *Ethnographically speaking: autoethnography, literature and aesthetics* (pp 170-186). Walnut Creek, CA: Alta Mira Press.
- Leavy, P. (2009). Performance Studies. *Method Meets Art: Arts-based Research Practices*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Leavy, P. (2016). An open Letter to the Facebook Friend Who thinks my life seems perfect. Retrieved: <https://onmogul.com/stories/an-open-letter-to-the-facebook-friend-who-thinks-my-life-seems-perfect>
- Richardson, L. (2000a). Evaluating Ethnography. *Qualitative Inquiry* 6 (2, June): 253-55.
- Richardson, L. (2000b). Writing: A method of Inquiry” Denzin, N.K. & Lincoln, Y.S. (Eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (pp.923-48) Thousand Oaks, Ca: Sage.
- Ritter, K.Y., & Terndrup, A.I. (2002). *Handbook of affirmative psychotherapy with lesbian and gay men*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Rust, P. C. (1996). Finding a sexual identity and community: Therapeutic implications and cultural assumptions in scientific models of coming out. In E. D. Rothblum & L.A. Bond (Eds.) *Preventing heterosexism and homophobia. Primary prevention of psychopathology*, Vol. 17. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Spry, T. (2001). Performing autoethnography: An embodied methodological praxis. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 7(6), 706-732.
- Sue, D. W. (2010). *Microaggressions in Everyday Life: Race, Gender and Sexual Orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.

Sue, D. W., Capodilupo, C. M., Torino, G. C., Bucceri, J. M., Holder, A. M. B., Nadal, K. L., & Esquilin, M. (2007). Racial Microgressions in Everyday Life: Implications for Clinical Practice. *American Psychologist*, 62(4), 271-286. doi:10.1037/0003066x.62.4.271

United States. Congress. House. Committee on the Judiciary. Committee on Immigration and Naturalization Committee on Patents Committee on Revision of the Laws (1996). *Defense of Marriage Act: report together with dissenting views (to accompany H.R. 3396)*. Washington, D.C.: U.S.G.P.O.

Warner, M. (1993). *Fear of a Queer Planet: Queer Politics and Social Theory*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

White, M., & Epston, D. (1990). *Narrative Means to Therapeutic Ends*. Adelaide, South Australia: Dulwich Centre.

Worthen, W.B. (1998). Drama, performativity, and performance. *PMLA*, 133(5), 1093-1107.

---

<sup>i</sup> Heteronormative, relating to, or based on the attitude that heterosexuality is the only normal and natural expression of sexuality.

<sup>ii</sup> Cisnormative, the assumption that all, or most all are cisgender. Cisgender, a term for people whose gender identity aligns with the sex that they were assigned at birth.